

From: LarryEvans@bcpeabody.com
To: [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ; [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ
Cc: andrewgoetz@bcpeabody.com; daniel_paganrosa@yahoo.com; johannawillis@bcpeabody.com; jicoll@caribe.net; KenCaraccia@bcpeabody.com; [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ; [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ; [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ
Subject: RE: UMAM sampling point request (UNCLASSIFIED)
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:41:13 AM

1:00PM is fine [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] ... what number?

Lawrence C. Evans
503.781.7930 (cell)
larryevans@bcpeabody.com
iyutka53@aol.com

From: [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)]@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:54 AM
To: LarryEvans@bcpeabody.com, [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)]@usace.army.mil>
Subject: RE: UMAM sampling point request (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Larry, how about 1pm? I see the priority is to discuss is VV13 since it is the first one for Monday. [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] this morning tells me Jorge and he chatted briefly about the security concern for VV13 and discussed substituting VV22 but that one is also on Jorge's list because so difficult to reach in the middle of the expanse of wetland. [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] and I started chatting about moving VV13 and/or VV22 to the edges of the wetlands. That takes the point away from the centerline of the alignment (enclosed Google Earth KMZ). Result is extra effort and uncertainty to extrapolate the results to the alignment proper. Our plan already has us extrapolating the findings of 20+/- points to the rest of the alignment, so now adding extrapolation to extrapolation. I think may be doable if we understand the assumptions for adjusting the scoring when used to develop the mitigation plan, your thoughts? I would like to discuss that during our telcon. If is doable, may apply to some of the other relocations on the list.

[FOIA Exemption (b)(6)]

Regulatory Division [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)]

Please assist us in better serving you!

Please complete the customer survey by

clicking on the following link:

<http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html>

From: [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 8:37 AM
To: LarryEvans@bcpeabody.com; [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ

Cc: andrewgoetz@bcpeabody.com; daniel_paganrosa@yahoo.com; johannawillis@bcpeabody.com; jllcoll@caribe.net; KenCaraccia@bcpeabody.com; [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ
Subject: RE: UMAM sampling point request (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Larry, the attachment did not reach me, can you retransmit?

[FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] are you available for a telcon at 9:30 this morning so Larry can walk you and I through his attachment?

[FOIA Exemption (b)(6)]

Regulatory Division [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)]

Please assist us in better serving you!

Please complete the customer survey by

clicking on the following link:

<http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html>

From: LarryEvans@bcpeabody.com [<mailto:LarryEvans@bcpeabody.com>]

Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 8:15 AM

To: [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ; [FOIA Exemption (b)(6)] SAJ

Cc: andrewgoetz@bcpeabody.com; daniel_paganrosa@yahoo.com; johannawillis@bcpeabody.com; jllcoll@caribe.net; KenCaraccia@bcpeabody.com

Subject: UMAM sampling point request

Importance: High

[FOIA Exe] -

Yesterday Danny asked if it might be possible to relocate some of the compensatory mitigation field sampling points. Danny and Jorge prepared a table that identifies the points in question (attached). Would you please take a look at this table and our request and call me at your first opportunity? Basically, some of the points selected are very remote and will be difficult to reach. We believe alternative sites that are more accessible will provide the same sampling value and allow a more expeditious review.

Thanks!

Lawrence C. Evans
503.781.7930 (cell)
larryevans@bcpeabody.com
iyutka53@aol.com

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE